arXiv:1406.0829v1 [hep-ph] 3 Jun 2014

A Confining Model for Charmonium and New Gauge Invariant Field Equations

Jong-Ping Hsu*
Department of Physics,
University of Massachusetts Dartmouth,
North Dartmouth, MA 02747-2300, USA

June 4, 2014

We discuss a confining model for charmonium in which the attractive force are derived from a new type of gauge field equation with a generalized SU_3 gauge symmetry. The new gauge transformations involve non-integrable phase factors with vector gauge functions $\omega_\mu^a(x)$. These transformations reduce to the usual SU_3 gauge transformations in the special case $\omega_\mu^a(x) = \partial_\mu \xi^a(x)$. Such a generalized gauge symmetry leads to the fourth-order equations for new gauge fields and to the linear confining potentials. The fourth-order field equation implies that the corresponding massless gauge boson has non-definite energy. However, the new gauge boson is permanently confined in a quark system by the linear potential. We use the empirical potentials of the Cornell group for charmonium to obtain the coupling strength $f^2/(4\pi) \approx 0.19$ for the strong interaction. Such a confining model of quark dynamics could be compatible with perturbation. The model can be applied to other quark-antiquark systems.

1 Introduction

The excited states of charmonium provide information regarding forces and potentials between charmed quarks or c-quarks[1]. The forces between charmed quarks come from a linear potential $\propto r$ and a Coulomb-type potential $\propto r^{-1}$. It is interesting to note that the coupling strength associated with the Coulomb-type potential is not purely electromagnetic. This suggests that the color SU_3 gauge fields, which generate a linear confinement potential for c-quarks, must also produce a Coulomb-type potential. Thus, it is desirable from a physical viewpoint that one investigates a model of charmonium with new gauge fields, which have these properties explicitly.

In this paper, we suggest that a new type of gauge invariant field equation involving fourth-order space-time derivatives could lead to these required properties. We show that these potentials between a c-quarks and anti-c-quark in a

*jhsu@umassd.edu

charmonium can be obtained by assuming a generalized gauge symmetry for the conservations of quark current. The ideas of generalization are (i) to replace the usual (Lorentz) scalar gauge function $\xi^a(x)$ by a vector function $\omega^a_\mu(x)$, and (ii) to replace the phase factor in usual gauge theory by a non-integrable phase factor, which contains the vector gauge function $\omega^a_\mu(x)$[2]. In the special case when the vector gauge function $\omega^a_\mu(x)$ can be expressed as the space-time derivative of an arbitrary scalar function $\xi^a(x)$, i.e. $\omega^a_\mu(x) = \partial_\mu \xi^a(x)$, then the non-integrable phase factor reduces to the usual phase factor. In this way, the generalized SU_3 gauge transformations becomes the usual SU_3 gauge transformations. In the literature, Yang stressed that electromagnetism is the gauge invariant manifestation of the non-integrable phase factor $exp(ie \oint A_\mu dx^\mu)$, which provides an intrinsic and complete description of electromagnetism[3]. We used it to explore forms of gauge fields, wrapping numbers and quantization conditions in gauge field theories[4].

We argue that there is a physical justification for the fourth-order gauge field equation in particle physics based on the principle of generalized gauge symmetry and the experimental non-observability of a single quark. The new gauge bosons associated with the fourth-order equation have non-definite energies, otherwise there is no essential difficulty, according to Pais and Uhlenbeck, and others[5, 6, 7]. We note that such a negative energy of the new gauge boson will not upset the stability of a quark system at quantum level and will not contradict experiment. The reason is that the quarks satisfy the Dirac equations and, hence, a system of quarks has the ground state. Furthermore, the gauge boson with negative energy is permanently confined in quark systems by a linear potential. Thus, the new gauge boson will not lead to observable negative energies in the physical world.

2 A Generalized U_1 and SU_3 Gauge Symmetries

For clarity and comparison, let us consider simultaneously the generalized gauge symmetries related to U_1 and SU_3 groups. Suppose gauge fields $B_\mu(x)$, and fermion $\psi(x)$ are associated U_1, while gauge fields $H^a_\mu(x)$ and quark field $q(x)$ are associated with SU_3. The new gauge transformations for $B_\mu(x)$ and $H^a_\mu(x)$ are assumed to involve vector gauge functions $\Lambda_\mu(x)$ and $\omega^a_\mu(x)$,

$$B'_\mu(x) = B_\mu(x) + \Lambda_\mu(x), \quad for \;\; U_1, \tag{1}$$

$$H'_\mu(x) = H_\mu(x) + \omega_\mu(x) - if \int^x dx'^\lambda [\omega_\lambda(x'), H_\mu(x)], \quad for \;\; SU_3, \tag{2}$$

$$H_\lambda(x) = H^a_\lambda(x) L^a, \quad \omega_\lambda(x) = \omega^a_\lambda(x) L^a, \quad [L^a, L^b] = if^{abc} L^c, \quad L^a = \frac{\lambda^a}{2},$$

where $a, b, c = 1, 2, ...8$ are indices of the color SU_3 group, which has 8 generators L^a, f^{abc} are structure constants, and λ^a are Gell-Mann matrices[8]. For fermions and quarks, the new gauge transformations are

$$\psi'(x) = \Omega(x)\psi(x), \qquad \overline{\psi}'(x) = \overline{\psi}(x)\Omega(x)^{-1}, \qquad U_1, \tag{3}$$

$$q'(x) = \Omega_\omega(x) q(x), \qquad \overline{q'}(x) = \overline{q}(x)\Omega_\omega^{-1}, \qquad SU_3, \tag{4}$$

$$\Omega(x) = exp\left(-ig_b \int^x \Lambda_\lambda(x')dx'^\lambda\right), \tag{5}$$

$$\Omega_\omega(x) = exp\left[-if\int^x \omega_\lambda(x')dx'^\lambda\right] = \left[1 - if\int^x \omega^a_\lambda(x')dx'^\lambda L^a\right], \tag{6}$$

where $\omega^a_\lambda(x)$ is an infinitesimal (Lorentz) vector gauge function. The paths in (5) and (6) could be arbitrary, as long as they end at the point $x \equiv x^\nu$. As usual, the gauge covariant derivatives are defined as

$$\Delta_{b\mu} = \partial_\mu + ig_b B_\mu(x), \qquad U_1, \tag{7}$$

$$\Delta_\mu = \partial_\mu + if H^a_\mu(x) L^a, \qquad SU_3. \tag{8}$$

The U_1 and SU_3 gauge curvatures are defined as usual,

$$[\Delta_{b\mu}, \Delta_{b\nu}] = ig_b B_{\mu\nu}, \qquad U_1, \tag{9}$$

$$[\Delta_\mu, \Delta_\nu] = if H^a_{\mu\nu} L^a, \qquad SU_3, \tag{10}$$

where

$$B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu,$$
$$H^a_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_\mu H^a_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu H^a_\mu(x) - f f^{abc} H^b_\mu(x) H^c_\nu(x), \tag{11}$$

or

$$H_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial_\mu H_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu H_\mu(x) + if[H_\mu(x), H_\nu(x)].$$

We have the following new gauge transformations for $B_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $\partial^\mu B_{\mu\nu}(x)$, $H_{\mu\nu}(x) = H^a_{\mu\nu}(x)L^a$, and so on:

$$B'_{\mu\nu}(x) = B_{\mu\nu}(x) + \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu(x) \neq B_{\mu\nu}, \quad U_1, \tag{12}$$

$$H'_{\mu\nu}(x) = H_{\mu\nu}(x) + \partial_\mu \omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu - if\left[\int^x \omega_\lambda(x')dx'^\lambda, H_{\mu\nu}(x)\right], \quad SU_3, \tag{13}$$

$$\partial^\mu B'_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial^\mu B_{\mu\nu}(x) + \partial^\mu\partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu - \partial^\mu \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu = \partial^\mu B_{\mu\nu}(x), \quad U_1, \tag{14}$$

$$\partial^\mu H'_{\mu\nu}(x) = \partial^\mu H_{\mu\nu}(x) - if\left[\int^x \omega_\lambda(x')dx'^\lambda, \partial^\mu H_{\mu\nu}(x)\right], \quad SU_3, \tag{15}$$

provided the gauge functions $\Lambda_\mu(x)$ and $\omega^a_\mu(x)$ satisfy the following constraints

$$\partial^2 \Lambda_\mu(x) - \partial_\mu \partial^\lambda \Lambda_\lambda(x) = 0, \quad \partial^2 = \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \eta^{\mu\nu}, \qquad \eta^{\mu\nu} = (1, -1, -1, -1), \tag{16}$$

$$\partial^\mu\{\partial_\mu \omega_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu \omega_\mu(x)\} - if[\omega^\mu(x), H_{\mu\nu}(x)] = 0. \tag{17}$$

These constraints are necessary for the gauge invariance of the Lagrangians with U_1 and SU_3 groups. We stress that the Lagrangian with new SU_3 gauge invariance can be constructed only for the general gauge function, $\omega_\mu(x) \neq \partial_\mu \omega(x)$. The relation (17) is required to be satisfied for the general case, $\omega_\mu(x) \neq$

$\partial_\mu\omega(x)$. The restriction for $\omega_\mu(x)$ in (17) is similar to that for gauge functions of Lie groups in the usual non-Abelian gauge theories[9, 10]. We also have

$$\overline{\psi}'(x)\gamma^\mu\Delta'_{b\mu}\psi'(x) = \overline{\psi}(x)\gamma^\mu\Delta_{b\mu}\psi(x), \qquad U_1, \tag{18}$$

$$\overline{q}'(x)\gamma^\mu\Delta'_{\mu}q'(x) = \overline{q}(x)\gamma^\mu\Delta_{\mu}q(x), \qquad SU_3. \tag{19}$$

Here, we have used the Jacobi identity for the generators L^a and the relations

$$\partial_\mu\Omega(x) = -ig_b\Lambda_\mu(x)\Omega(x), \tag{20}$$

$$\partial_\mu\Omega_\omega(x) = -if\omega^a_\mu(x)L^a\Omega_\omega(x), \tag{21}$$

to obtain the results (12)-(17).

These relations (1), (3), (5), (7) and (9) define generalized U_1 gauge symmetry. There are four components of the gauge vector function Λ_μ in (1). Nevertheless, we impose four constraints (16) for Λ_μ. The transformation of ψ in (3) involving a scalar phase factor, and the form of the gauge covariant derivative (10) is the same as that of the U_1 gauge group. Furthermore, in the special case in which the vector function $\Lambda_\mu(x)$ can be expressed as the space-time derivative of an arbitrary scalar function $\omega(x)$, i.e., $\Lambda_\mu = \partial_\mu\omega(x)$, the constraint (16) becomes an identity for arbitrary function $\omega(x)$. In this case, the transformations (1), (3) and (5) reduce the usual U_1 gauge transformations. In other words, the non-integrable phase factors become usual phase factors of the U_1 group. Thus, the transformations (1), (3), (5) and the constraint (16) may be considered as a generalized U_1 gauge transformation. Similar situation holds in the generalized SU_3 gauge transformation. We shall call them the U_1 or SU_3 'taiji gauge transformations'[1] to distinguish them from the usual gauge transformations. Their corresponding gauge fields may be called 'taiji gauge fields,' which will satisfy fourth-order partial differential equations, as we shall see below.

3 A Model of Charmonium and Confining Potential

Let us apply SU_3 taiji gauge symmetry in (11), (15) and (17) to construct a confining model for charmonium. In this model one has a linear attractive potential between quark and antiquark in a charmonium. This model suggests a new approach to quark confinement based on the confining potential derived from the fourth-order gauge field equations dictated by the taiji gauge symmetry. Thus, the new approach differs from that in the conventional quantum chromodynamics (QCD) based on the usual gauge symmetry. This difference will be further discussed in section 4.

In this model for charmonium, the previous discussions still hold when we replace quark $q(x)$ and anti-quark $\overline{q}(x)$ by the charmed quarks and antiquarks,

[1] In ancient Chinese thought, 'taiji' denotes the ultimate principle or the condition that existed before the creation of the world.

$c(x)$ and $\overline{c}(x)$. The Lagrangian with $[SU_3]_{color}$ taiji gauge symmetry takes the form

$$L_{cH} = \frac{1}{2}L_o^2 \partial_\mu H_a^{\mu\lambda} \partial^\nu H_{a\nu\lambda} + \overline{c}[i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + if H_\mu^a L^a) - m_c]c, \tag{22}$$

where m_c is the mass of the charmed quark[8]. For the following discussions of classical gauge fields and static potentials, it is not necessary to include a gauge fixing term in the Lagrangian (22), similar to the usual discussion of the Coulomb potential in electrodynamics. Nevertheless, when one discusses quantum fields and rules for Feynman diagrams, one must include gauge fixing terms such as $L_{gf} = (L_o^2/2\alpha)(\partial_\lambda \partial_\mu H^{\mu a})(\partial^\lambda \partial^\nu H_\nu^a)$ in (22), so that the propagator of the massless gauge boson is well defined.

The gauge field equation for $H_\mu^a(x)$ can be derived from the Lagrangian (22),

$$\partial^2 \partial^\mu H_{\mu\nu}^a - \frac{f}{L_o^2}\overline{c}\gamma_\nu L^a c = 0. \tag{23}$$

The charmed quark equations are given by

$$i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + if H_{a\mu} L_a)c - m_c c = 0, \tag{24}$$

$$i\partial_\mu \overline{c}\gamma^\mu + \overline{c}\gamma^\mu f H_{\mu a} L_a + m_c \overline{c} = 0. \tag{25}$$

Since $H_{\mu\nu}^a$ is anti-symmetric in μ and ν, the taiji gauge equation (23) implies the continuity equation

$$\partial^\nu(\overline{c}\gamma_\nu L^a c) = 0, \tag{26}$$

associated with the color SU_3 group. This continuity equation can also be derived from the charmed quark equations (24) and (25).

The static equation for the time-component $H_0^a(\mathbf{r})$ in (23) takes the form

$$L_o^2 \nabla^2 \nabla^2 H_0^a(\mathbf{r}) = f\overline{c}\gamma_0 L^a c. \tag{27}$$

For example, the sources of $H_\mu^3(x)$ and $H_\mu^8(x)$ fields are respectively the color isotopic charge Q_3 and the color hypercharge Q_8. All color charges can be expressed in terms of the unit color charge f[8]. Similar to the static Coulomb potential in electrodynamics, suppose we replace the time component of the source term $f(\overline{c}\gamma_0 L^a c)$ by a point color charge Q_3 at the origin for the component $a = 3$. We have

$$L_o^2 \nabla^2 \nabla^2 H_0^3(\mathbf{r}) = Q_3 \delta^3(\mathbf{r}). \tag{28}$$

It leads to the linear attractive gauge potential fields $H_0^3(\mathbf{r})$

$$H_0^3(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{Q_3}{L_o^2}\frac{r}{8\pi}, \tag{29}$$

where we have used the Fourier transform of generalized functions[11, 2]. In addition to this solution, we observe that the fourth-order equation (28) also has a Coulomb-type solution $H_0'^3(\mathbf{r}) = b/r$, which satisfies $\nabla^2 H_0'^3(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ for $r \neq 0$. To determine the unknown constant b, we require that this solution of

homogeneous equation satisfies the boundary condition,$\nabla^2 H_0'^3(0) = Q_3\delta^3(0)$, at the origin. This boundary condition implies that the new gauge boson H^3_μ coupled to other particles with only one single color charge Q_3. Thus we have $b/r = -Q_3/(4\pi r)$. These two solutions, $H^3_0(\mathbf{r})$ and $H'^3_0(\mathbf{r})$, lead to the strong attractive potential energy $Q_3(H^3_0 + H'^3_0) = V_{st}$ for the charmonium,

$$V_{st} = \frac{(Q_3)^2 r}{8\pi L_o^2} - \frac{(Q_3)^2}{4\pi r}. \tag{30}$$

Besides, there is an attractive electromagnetic potential energy between charmed quark and anti-quark with the electric charges $Q_{e1} = 2e/3$ and $Q_{e2} = -2e/3$, we have

$$V_{em} = \frac{Q_{e1}Q_{e2}}{4\pi r} = \frac{-4}{9}\frac{e^2}{4\pi r}, \qquad \frac{e^2}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{137.035}, \tag{31}$$

where $c = \hbar = 1$. To estimate the constants L_o and unit color charge f in the Lagrangian (22), we set $Q_3 \approx f$ and use the coefficients of the effective potential energy $V(r)$ obtained by fitting the spectrum of charmonium[1, 12],

$$V(r) = -\frac{\alpha_c}{r}\left[1 - \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^2\right], \quad \alpha_c = 0.2, \qquad a = 0.2fm, \quad m_c = 1.6GeV. \tag{32}$$

In this approach of Cornell group for charmonium spectrum, heavy charmed quarks are considered as non-relativistic particles. It is natural to identify the effective potential $V(r)$ in (32) with the sum of the confining potential (30) and the electromagnetic potential (31),

$$V(r) = V_{st} + V_{em} = \left(\frac{f^2}{4\pi L_o^2}\right) r - \left(\frac{f^2}{4\pi} + \frac{e^2}{9\pi}\right)\frac{1}{r}, \quad f \approx Q_3. \tag{33}$$

Based on the relations (32) and (33), we can estimate the coupling strength $f^2/(4\pi)$ of unit color charge f and the length scale L_o:

$$\frac{f^2}{4\pi} \approx 0.19, \qquad L_o \approx 0.93a = 0.19fm, \qquad m_c \approx 1.6GeV. \tag{34}$$

Since the experimental result for m_c is given by $1.18GeV \leq m_c \leq 1.34GeV$,[12] one may consider $f^2/(4\pi)$ and L_o in (34) as approximate results with uncertainties of $\approx 25\%$.

4 Discussions

The taiji U_1 gauge symmetry was used to discuss the conservation of baryonic charges and baryonic gauge fields, which could provide a field-theoretic understanding of the accelerated cosmic expansion[2, 13, 14]. We may remark that the formulation of taiji gauge symmetry for SU_3 can be generalized to SU_N without difficulty.

In the usual formulation of, say, the U_1 gauge field h_μ, the U_1 curvature $h_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu h_\nu - \partial_\nu h_\mu$ is gauge invariant. Thus, $\partial^\mu h_{\mu\nu}$, $\partial^\lambda h_{\mu\nu}$ etc. are also U_1

gauge invariant, so that one can use them to construct various gauge invariant Lagrangians to obtain higher-order gauge field equations. But these types of gauge invariant Lagrangians are not unique[2, 13]. Taiji U_1 gauge symmetry implies that only the special form $\partial^\mu h_{\mu\nu}$ is invariant, as shown in (14).

If one uses the potential (33) to fit the bound states of quark and antiquark systems for both c-quarks and b-quarks, one will get the results $f^2/(4\pi) = 0.51,\ L_o = 0.34fm,\ m_c = 1.84GeV$ and $m_b = 5.17GeV$[15, 12]. Thus, in the low energy regime, the strength of color charge is probably given by $0.19 \leq f^2/(4\pi) \leq 0.51$. Since the value of $m_c = 1.84GeV$ is larger than that associated with (32) or (34), the inclusion of b-quarks seems to give a less reliable approximate value for $f^2/(4\pi)$, in comparison with that given by (34).

Recently, a full lattice QCD with almost physical quark masses was employed to study charmonium potential.[16, 17] The potentials with spin-independent and spin-dependent parts are obtained by using Bethe-Salpeter wave function in dynamical lattice QCD simulations. It was found that the spin-independent charmonium potential is quite similar to the non-relativistic potential such as the Cornell potential.[1] The potential calculated in Bethe-Salpeter amplitude methods exhibits a linear potential at large distances and a Coulomb-type potential at short distances. But, the Coulomb-type potential may have large uncertainties. In order to avoid the large discretization error, one uses data which suffer less from the rotational symmetry breaking in the finite cubic box.[16] The spin-dependent potential obtained in the lattice QCD clearly differs from a repulsive δ-function potential generated by one-gluon exchange, which was widely used in non-relativistic potential model for charmonium. The r-dependent part in the spin-dependent potential may take the Yukawa form, or Gaussian form, etc. It is barely consistent with the phenomenological model.[18]

In comparison with the elaborated dynamical lattice QCD simulations, the present confining model suggests a simple understanding and derivation of both the linear and Coulomb-type potential from one single gauge field equation. This equation is the fourth-order field equation with a generalized gauge symmetry involving non-integrable phase factor in $(SU_3)_{color}$ gauge transformations. The situation is somewhat similar to that in the electromagnetic field with U_1 gauge symmetry. The spin-dependent potential is not discussed in this confining model and needs further investigation.

It is interesting that the strong coupling strength $0.19 \leq f^2/(4\pi) \leq 0.51$ in the gauge covariant derivative (8) and the Lagrangian (22) turns out to be smaller than 1 in the confining model for charmonium. This result suggests that perturbation calculations could be useful in this confining model. It also suggests that we could have a satisfactory quantum quark dynamics with strong interaction based on the taiji gauge symmetry, similar to quantum electrodynamics with the usual U_1 gauge symmetry. Furthermore, the length $L_o = 0.19fm$ may be used as a reference for large or small quark and antiquark separation in a charmonium. It appears that the presence of this length L_o is a general property of the Lagrangian with the taiji gauge symmetry. It could be considered as the characteristic length in quark dynamics with taiji gauge symmetry.

From the viewpoint of quantum field theory, the degree of divergence in

higher order Feynman diagrams associated with the Lagrangian (22) appears to be no worse than that of the corresponding diagram in the usual gauge theory. Thus, the confining model may be renormalizable. There is also a linear potential between the c-quark and the new massless gauge boson, which is due to the exchange of a virtual gauge boson between them. If the massless gauge boson were to escape from a quark-antiquark system, then one will have unphysical massless-particle with negative energies and unphysical runaway solution of gauge fields[5, 6, 7]. It is gratifying that these unphysical phenomena cannot be realized because of the confinement property.

In summary, we have demonstrated that by postulating the taiji gauge symmetry we have a new gauge field obeying (23) and (27), we can qualitatively understand the confinement of c- and $\overline{c}$-quarks, and of the massless gauge boson in charmed quark-antiquark system[19]. The qualitative understanding of confinement can be extended to other quark-antiquark systems, but its applications to baryonic systems need further study[20, 21].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank L. Hsu and D. Fine for useful discussions. The work was support in part by the Jing Shin Research Fund, UMass Dartmouth Foundation.

Note added. If we do a more detailed calculation of the charmonium potential in (33) by considering all source terms, we obtain smaller values, $f^2/4\pi \approx 0.04$, and $L_o \approx 0.013 fm$.

References

[1] See, for example, E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, J. Kogut, K. D. Lane and T.-M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **34**, 369 (1975).

[2] J. P. Hsu, Modern Phys. Letts A **29**, 1450031 (2014).

[3] C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33**, 445 (1974).

[4] J. P. Hsu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 1515 (1976).

[5] A. Pais and G. E. Uhlenbeck, Phys. Rev. **79**, 145 (1950).

[6] Y. Takano, Progr. Theor. Phys. **26**, 304 (1961).

[7] K. Andrzejewski, J. Gonera and P. Maślanka, Progr. Theor. Phys. **125**, 247 (2011).

[8] K. Huang, *Quarks, Leptons and Gauge Fields* (World Scientific, 1982), pp. 22-33, pp. 61-72 and pp. 241-245.

[9] B. W. Lee and Jean Zinn-Justin, Phys. Rev. **7**, 1047 (1973).

[10] J. P. Hsu, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **127**: 35 (2012). DOI: 10.1140/epjp/i2012-12035-9.

[11] See, for example, I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions* vol. 1 (Academic Press, New York, 1964), p. 363.

[12] Particle Data Group, *Particle Physics Booklet* (IOP Publishing, 2010), p. 21.

[13] J. P. Hsu, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **20**, 2855 (2005).

[14] J. P. Hsu and L. Hsu, *Space-Time Symmetry and Quantum Yang-Mills Gravity* (World Scientific, 2013) pp. 212-215 and p. 225.

[15] T. D. Lee, *Particle Physics and Introduction to Field Theory* (Harwood Academic Publishers, Chur, London, 1981) pp. 584-587.

[16] T. Kawanai and S. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 091503(R) (2012).

[17] G. S. Bali, Phys. Rep. **343**, 1 (2001).

[18] T. Barnes, S. Godfrey and E. S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 054026 (2005).

[19] H. B. Ai and J. P. Hsu, Found. Phys. **15**, 155 (1985).

[20] J. P. Hsu, Phys. Rev. **D26**, 802 (1981).

[21] J. P. Hsu, Nuovo Cimento **88B**, 140 (1985).